## SOUTH DAKOTA SUNFLOWER ACREAGE ESTIMATES BY SATELLITE

BY Paul W, Cook

## 1980

Throughout recorded history, man has developed many imaginative and innovative ways of accomplishing a given task. What will be reported on here is a method whereby sunflower acreage estimates were generated for a six county area in South Dakota by means of matching data from a satellite launched by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) with a sample of ground observations. This was done in an effort to improve the precision of estimates of crop acreages by researchers with the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economics, Statistics and Cooperatives Service (ESCS).

Obtaining

data needed for analysis of a given area is not always easily achieved. Clouds may render the optimal dates for crop discrimination unusable. For example, studies done by ESCS for three for which total states done by ESCS for three for which total state of the state of the state of the state of the Midwestern States have been made incomplete by from two to seventeen counties due to clouds obscuring those areas.

Another consideration of importance is the timely and full availability of the LANDSAT digital data. For agricultural crops, this means that data should be produced within two weeks of the satellite's overpass. This would allow for a rapid data analysis to be made and increase the satellite's usefulness. Since the crop must be at a stage of growth that allows it to be easily observed by the satellite, early season estimates using satellite data are not possible. Consequently, early season acreage estimates will always need to be made by ground survey as the ESCS Crop Reporting Board presently does. However, late season precision may be improved by use of the satellite data.

ESCS's scientists have been examining the utility of making crop acreages with NASA's LANDSAT satellite series since its inception. Originally known as the Earth Resources Technology Satellite (ERTS), LANDSAT I was launched in July 1972, LANDSAT II in March 1975, and LANDSAT III in March 1978. Although at various times two satellites have been operating, there have also been times when only one satellite has provided data.

The 580 mile high polar orbit of each satellite allows it to pass over a given region of the earth's surface every eighteen days while providing data on a swath 185km (115 miles) wide. Photo-electric sensors measure the amount of reflected energy from the earth's surface for four portions of the electro-magnetic spectrum. Two bands are in the visible light range with the other two bands in the near infra-red wavelengths.

Each set of four readings made by the sensors on board the satellite is known as a picture element or pixel and has an areal extent of 59 meters by 80 meters (approximately 1.14 acres). These data are beamed to earth whekereceiving stations which they are recorded on digital computer tapes for further processing. NASA then cuts the long swaths of data into more manageable 115 miles (185km) square scenes (see Figure I). Tapes containing many such scenes are sent to the U.S. Department of Interior's EROS Data

-2-

Center at Souix Falls, South Dakota. There, the tapes are processed to produce both digital and photographic products with resampled pixel sizes of\_57 meters by 57 meters for the user community.

The photographs may be produced for each band individually in black and white or three bands may be combined using colored filters to produce a false-color composite as shown in Figure I. For the crop estimation research, ESCS uses the photo products primarily as a means of determining where the LANDSAT scene is located in respect to the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) map base. Another possible use of the photos is to locate the boundaries of different types of land uses, such as agricultural land, cities, forests, etc., to develop land use strata maps.

ESCS regularly collects information on approximately 16,000 randomly selected sample areas (or segments) throughout the United States on a yearly basis. This data collection effort is known as the June Enumerative Survey (JES). Enumerators interview each operator of land within each segment to determine what crops are being grown as well as the location and size of each field. This survey is a major input into the official June Acreage Report of the Crop Reporting Board. Other information collected from the JES on livestock numbers, farm labor, utilization of planted crops, etc., is used for other reports by the Crop Reporting Board.

Use of the LANDSAT data to make year-end state and sub-state estimates of greater precision for the Annual Crop Summary requires the ground information from the JES. ESCS's methodology for using the LANDSAT data involves matching the LANDSAT digital pixel values with known fields of crops from the randomly located segments throughout the area or state of interest. In the Midwest sample segments are typically one square mile in size (300-400 per state).

-3-

Once all the segments are located accurately within a LANDSAT scene (usually up to 60 segments are available), the matched LANDSAT pixel data are used to develop crop signatures for each crop type present in the sample segments (such as corn, soybeans, sunflower). A large computer in California (the ILLIAC IV) then does the calculations necessary to assign or classify each of the 10 million pixels within the scene to one of the appropriate crop types or land uses.

The relationship between the classified LANDSAT pixels within sample segments and the reported acreage in the same segment is used to correct for inaccuracies in the classification process. Thereby, the final statistical acreage estimates can have a statement of precision made about them a statistical way of measuring the amount of variability that the estimate might have if different ground samples were used.

During the summer of 1979, the Remote Sensing Institute (RSI) of South Dakota State University and ESCS engaged in a study to determine the importance soils may have for distinguishing crops using LANDSAT data. To implement this study, a random sample of 252 one-quarter square mile segments were drawn within a six county area of South Dakota (see Figure II). This intensive sample was drawn by ESCS and enumerated by RSI in order to study ten different soil strata. Coincidentally, this area included Spink County, which had a considerable acreage of sunflower. Since much of the winter wheat crop was lost during the 1979 growing season, additional acreage of sunflower was planted in this county. The soil study segments were available for examining the possibility of estimating the sunflower acreage.

Six contiguous counties (Beadle, Clarke, Codington, Hamlin, Kingsbury, and Spink Counties) in South Dakota made up the study area which was virtually all

-4-

contained on LANDSAT scene 21676-16321 taken on August 25, 1979 (see Figure I). The dark red areas in the image are areas of high intensity crop cultivation. Primarily, these are fields of corn and sunflower. The light or dark grayish appearing areas are fields that have been harvested or where there is little natural vegetation. The dark blue areas are bodies of water.

The majority of the sunflower fields were in Spink County. Therefore, the best relationship between the classified LANDSAT pixels and the reported acreage was found for that county. This statistical relationship (known as  $r^2$ ) calculated from the data was found to be in excess of 0.92 (on a scale of 0 to +1), which shows there to be a very high positive correlation between the ground data and the classified pixel information. This meant that the LANDSAT data with ground data could be used to make a more precise estimate of the sunflower acreage within the county than by use of ground data alone.

To examine the value of using LANDSAT data to improve the precision of our acreage estimate, let us use the statistical measure known as the coefficient of variation (CV). By definition it is the ratio of the standard deviation (a measure of statistical variability or spread) to the estimate's mean calculated from the sample data and expressed as a percentage.

Using ground data alone, we found the CV to be 22.8% for the sunflower estimate whereas the estimate made using the LANDSAT data in conjunction with the ground data reduced this to 13,2%. Consequently, the LANDSAT aided  $\wedge$  estimate of 147,000 acres for the six county area was remarkably more precise than was that obtained only from the ground data.

-5-

To have obtained this same increase in precision by use of ground data alone, 3.7 times as many segments would have been required. However, cost of such a data collection effort would have been much greater than the costs associated with the LANDSAT data analysis effort.

Future research plans include further testing of new methods for making more effective use of the LANDSAT data. Also, new satellite systems are under design work by NASA now. The combination of these improvements should allow better results in the near future. Indeed, ESCS will continue to seek out ways to implement new advances in technology that aid in improving the precision of crop acreage estimates that are made.

-6-